



### Annex 3: Framework for reporting identified practices

	Section	Indication of Content
1	Title of the practice	<b>Analysis of the Chosen Risks</b>
2	Precise theme/ issue tackled by the practice	Detailed description of the hydraulic risk in terms of cause, nature and likely consequences.
3	Objectives of the practice	To individuate the necessary actions for the mitigation process and the subjects who will implement the actions.
4	Location	- Italy - Region Emilia-Romagna - Province Forli-Cesena - Province of Ravenna - Municipalities of Cesena, Cesenatico, Cervia (Province of Ravenna)
5	Detailed description of the practice	<p><b>Origin:</b> Province Forli-Cesena</p> <p><b>Timescale:</b> 2 years</p> <p><b>Bodies involved / implementation:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Basin Authority of Romagna Rivers</li> <li>➤ Region Emilia-Romagna</li> <li>➤ Province Forli-Cesena</li> <li>➤ Water Management Body</li> <li>➤ Regional Agency for Civil Protection</li> <li>➤ Municipalities of Cesena, Cesenatico, Cervia</li> </ul> <p><b>Process and detailed content of the practice:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Definition of the vulnerable elements</li> <li>- Hydraulic analysis</li> <li>- Definition of the areas of intervention</li> <li>- Individuation of the subjects who will implement the actions</li> </ul> <p>After the serious flooding of 1996 in Cesenatico area, the parts affected and the damaged structures were individuated. At the same time detailed studies were carried out in order to determine the event range in terms of millimetres of rain, levels of rivers and in particular the response of the secondary hydraulic net. The first results showed that, because of the subsidence, the hydraulic net was not able to sustain such heavy hydraulic levels. Therefore it was evident that the best solution was to plan interventions on the whole basin. The area of intervention became larger, including surrounding territories and in particular the internal areas. Several public bodies managing safety were involved for the following planning and realisation of the mitigation actions.</p> <p><b>Legal framework:</b></p>

		<p>- Decree by Presidency of Council of Ministers</p> <p><b>Financial framework:</b> national funds</p>
6	Evaluation	<p><b>Possible demonstrated results:</b> A precise identification of the causes allowed a correct definition of the mitigation interventions.</p> <p><b>Possible success factors:</b> The involvement of different actors managing safety led to the solution of a difficult and complex situation.</p> <p><b>Encountered difficulties:</b> A heavy administrative effort was necessary to set up the definition of formal agreements and arrangements among the different bodies involved in order to establish a formal cooperation for the mitigation process.</p>
7	Lessons learnt from the practice	The complex situations are better approached and dealt with through the involvement of different actors.
8	Contact information	<p>Claudia Casadei – Civil Protection Unit – Provincia di Forlì-Cesena E-mail: <a href="mailto:claudia.casadei@provincia.fc.it">claudia.casadei@provincia.fc.it</a></p> <p>Elisa Cangini – European Project Office – Provincia di Forlì-Cesena e-mail: <a href="mailto:elisa.cangini@provincia.fc.it">elisa.cangini@provincia.fc.it</a> – <a href="mailto:relin@provincia.fc.it">relin@provincia.fc.it</a></p>
9	Other possible interesting information	